

Version 1.0 | Last updated 08 January 2017

Indian Labour Corps

By [Radhika Singha](#)

By December 1919, India had raised a total of 877,068 combatants and 563,369 non-combatants for the Great War. The latter included the Indian Labour and Porter Corps whose particular war experience, involving back-breaking toil in portering, stevedoring, salvage activity and construction work, is often passed over in historical accounts.

Table of Contents

[1 From "Coolie Corps" to the Indian Labour and Porter Corps](#)

[2 Recruitment](#)

[3 The Labourer's War](#)

[4 Writing in and Writing Out](#)

[Notes](#)

[Selected Bibliography](#)

[Citation](#)

From "Coolie Corps" to the Indian Labour and Porter Corps

"Coolie Corps" were a regular feature of militarized frontier-making in colonial [India](#), and accompanied Indian Army expeditions overseas. In World War I, they acquired the more acceptable labels "Indian Labour Corps" (ILC) and "Porter Corps" (PC) and were more formally organized, with the men being enrolled as followers under the Indian Army Act.

Indian Expeditionary Force "B", sent to East Africa in November 1914, had a Railway Coolie Corps and a Supply Coolie Corps. Between September and November 1915, a PC from the Madras Presidency and two ILC from Punjab were raised for [Gallipoli](#), but diverted to Mesopotamia, where an escalating logistical crisis generated an immense manpower demand upon India. ILC and PC were also used in Persia, and two ILC were stationed in Salonika. In 1917, the government of India

also undertook to provide 50,000 labourers for France, with the first ILC disembarking at Marseilles in June 1917.

The official table for organized labour units with the Indian Army is given below, but the actual strength of the units was higher.

Theatre in which employed	Labour Corps Strength	Porter Corps Strength	Syce (horse groom) Companies Strength
	1,150	576	210
France	54		6
Mesopotamia	19	12	6
Salonika	2		
Egypt			½
East Africa		1	
South Persia	8		1
East Persia	1		
India	20		1 ½
Total	104	13	15

Table 1: India's Contribution to the Great War^[1]

The armistice in November 1918 did not reduce the need to keep ILC and PC working in Mesopotamia and Persia. As India's own frontiers began to collapse into the landscape of the First World War, "Coolie Corps" had to be raised for operations to crush the Kuki-Chin uprising along the Assam-Burma frontier and, from spring 1919, for the Third Afghan War and the Waziristan campaign (1919-1920).

Recruitment

Initially, regimental and army contractor-based networks were deployed to secure non-combatants, but there were complaints about shortfalls and "inferior" material. In 1916, jails had to be tapped to raise seven convict Porter and Labour Corps, made up of some 16,000 men, for Mesopotamia. By 1917, there was a shift to territorially-based quotas and closer coordination between [civil and military authorities](#) to ensure targets were met. The more intensive use of revenue officials, landlords and chiefs and the deployment of corvée for military recruiting sparked off some uprisings, as in the princely state of Mayurbhanj and along the Assam-Burma border. However, certain aspirational currents among first-generation literate members of "low caste" and "tribal" strata are also visible in labour recruitment, with missionaries and Indian catechists sometimes acting as a conduit.

A more all-India pattern marked recruitment for labour units sent to Mesopotamia than those sent to France, which had just two small clusters of men from the Bombay Presidency and Central India and none from Madras Presidency. What turned out to be politically significant was recruitment from the sparsely populated mountainous tracts stretching from Assam across to the Chin Hills in Burma, where going to France in the ILC or refusing to do so left a permanent mark on ethno-nationalist narratives.

The Labourer's War

Long work hours and unrelenting toil in stevedoring, construction work, forestry and salvage activity marked the labourer's war, with particularly harsh conditions in Mesopotamia. The war diaries for the Jail Labour Corps illustrate the way in which the hunger for manpower of modern war can intensify existing forms of labour servitude. However, the same pressure also forced the Indian Army to reduce the disparity between the service conditions of "sepoys" and non-combatants. One advantage which labourers usually had over sepoys was their recruitment on fixed terms rather than on "duration of war" agreements. Nevertheless, repatriation at the conclusion of their contracts was something which labour companies had to struggle for, both in France and in Mesopotamia.

Writing in and Writing Out

Of the 1.7 million Indians who served in the Great War, about a third, some 609,396, were non-combatants.^[2] However, both the government of India and the Indian intelligentsia had an investment in allowing the figure for non-combatants to simply blur into India's total manpower contribution to the war. The colonial regime was anxious to distance ILC and PC recruitment from the politically controversial system of indentured coolie migration to the sugar plantations of the Empire. The Indian intelligentsia focused on the sacrifices of the valorous sepoy to demand political parity with the Dominions, instead of dwelling on the role played by the "coolie" and "menial follower". However, it also began to conceptualize a space for "labour" in its visions for the putative nation state and at the local level, war service lent a certain energy to movements for emancipation from the *corvée* system.

Radhika Singha, Jawaharlal Nehru University

Section Editor: [Heike Liebau](#)

Notes

1. ↑ Government of India: India's contribution to the Great War, Calcutta 1923, p. 92.

2. ↑ War Office: Statistics of the military effort of the British Empire during the Great War, 1914–1920, London 1919, p. 777.

Selected Bibliography

Coates Ulrichsen, Kristian: **The logistics and politics of the British campaigns in the Middle East, 1914-22**, Basingstoke 2011: Palgrave Macmillan.

Singha, Radhika: **The recruiter's eye on 'the primitive'. To France – and back – in the Indian Labour Corps, 1917-1918**: Other combatants, other fronts. Competing histories of the First World War, Newcastle upon Tyne 2011: Cambridge Scholars.

Singha, Radhika: **The short career of the Indian Labor Corps in France, 1917-1919**, in: International Labor and Working-Class History 87, Spring 2015, pp. 1-36.

Singha, Radhika: **Finding labor from India for the war in Iraq. The Jail Porter and Labor Corps, 1916-1920**, in: Comparative Studies in Society and History 49/2, April 2007, pp. 412–445, [doi:10.1017/S0010417507000540](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417507000540).

Starling, John / Lee, Ivor: **No labour, no battle. Military labour during the First World War**, Stroud 2009: History.

Citation

Singha, Radhika: Indian Labour Corps , in: 1914-1918-online. International Encyclopedia of the First World War, ed. by Ute Daniel, Peter Gatrell, Oliver Janz, Heather Jones, Jennifer Keene, Alan Kramer, and Bill Nasson, issued by Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin 2014-10-08. **DOI:** [10.15463/ie1418.10669](https://doi.org/10.15463/ie1418.10669).

License

This text is licensed under: [CC by-NC-ND 3.0 Germany](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/de/) - Attribution, Non-commercial, No Derivative Works.