

Version 1.0 | Last updated 08 January 2017

Historiography 1918-Today (Latin America)

By Stefan Rinke

Scholarship on Latin American history has for decades largely ignored the First World War as a major event in which the continent played a part. This was mainly due to historiography's focus on the nation and as well as initially on diplomatic and later social and economic topics. Only recently, with the rise of the new cultural history and global history, have the tides started to turn. Several important studies have now been published.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Contemporaries
- 3 Dependence Theory and Social History
- 4 National Historiographies
- 5 New Cultural History and Global History
- 6 Conclusion
- Notes
- Selected Bibliography
- Citation

Introduction

The entanglement between global events and local developments during the First World War has almost gone unnoticed in the historiography of Latin America since the end of the conflagration. Scholarship on Latin American history usually distinguishes between the long phase of the emergence of the new republics in a "long" 19th century and a phase during which the continent underwent a transformation into modern mass societies during the 20th century. The standard treatments and textbooks of Latin American history usually take the Great Depression as a point of Historiography 1918-Today (Latin America) - 1914-1918-Online

departure for periodization. According to these views, the great crisis was the moment in time when Latin American history took a new turn and a new era began. In these works, the First World War, however, does not play a major role as a break in historical development for the continent. Moreover, textbooks often do not even or only scarcely mention it.^[1]

The Contemporaries

However, there was indeed an early historiography on World War I and Latin America. It appeared at the end of the war, when several authors published initial studies. These were still very much influenced by the recent events and wartime propaganda. They tried to establish certain interpretations and inflict revenge on the former enemy. These texts concentrated only on the diplomatic sphere. Their interpretations were one-dimensional in the sense that they contained a clear definition of good (pro-Allied voices) and bad (those who had fought for neutrality or even the German cause).^[2]

The U.S. American historian Percy Martin was the first to discuss the topic from a less partisan point of view, based on primary sources taken from the many documents that Latin American governments published after the war. His starkly negative views about the Mexican Revolution, however, heavily influenced his verdict on that country's attitude during the war. According to Martin, Mexico remained a troublemaker in a hemisphere that was largely sympathetic towards the United States war effort.^[3] After Martin's publication, the Great Depression and the Second World War redirected historians' attention to other topics and the First World War lost its place of interest in historiography for a long time.

Dependence Theory and Social History

With the emergence of the dependency theory in the 1960s and 1970s, however, interest in the relevance of the 20th century's first global war in Latin America re-emerged. It was not a historian, but the sociologist end economist André Gunder Frank who in 1969 voiced the hypothesis that only the rupture of external dependencies had allowed autonomous industrialization and development in Latin America. Frank quoted the First World War as his major element in proof.^[4] When historians later evaluated the works of dependency theorists like Frank, they found that most of their hypotheses lacked a solid basis. Bill Albert showed in his socioeconomic comparative study of four South American countries published in 1988 that dependency even deepened during the war years because of the blockade and the blacklists. The export sector, so Albert, gained in strength because of the war. Albert's book has remained the standard treatment on the socioeconomic history of Latin America during the war.^[5]

National Historiographies

The interest of Frank and Albert in the First World War remained an exception to the norm until the end of the 20th century. If one looks at national histories, however, the situation is different. For countries such as Argentina, Brazil, Chile, and Mexico, works about the respective nations' diplomatic histories in relation to the war exist.^[6] In addition, although historical experiences differ enormously amongst the nineteen states in the region, there was without a doubt a rise in major social upheaval and socioeconomic changes from 1910 to 1920. In Mexico, for example, the year 1910 is without a doubt a major turning point in national history because it marks the beginning of the first major revolution in the 20th century. Historians of countries as diverse as Bolivia, Chile, Guatemala and Peru point to the years 1919 and 1920 as important crossroads, due to political and social changes. Even smaller countries like Nicaragua, Haiti or the Dominican Republic experienced ruptures, when, in 1912, 1915 and 1916 respectively, extended periods of U.S. military intervention began. Panama, where the transoceanic channel opened its gates in 1914, only a few days before the fighting in Europe began, is also a case in point. However, the national historiographies that discuss these issues do not address the world war or the transnational entanglements of these events from an analytic perspective.

Argentina is an exceptional case. Indeed, Argentine historians have long since seen the year 1916 as an important landmark in national history because of democratic reform and the election of Hipólito Yrigoyen (1852-1933), the candidate of the Radical Civic Union (*Unión Cívica Radical*, UCR). Four books and a major collection of sources focus on the war's impact on Yrigoyen's presidency.^[7] In recent years, Philip Dehne has analysed the British economic war in Argentina, basing his argument exclusively on British sources.^[8] Most important are the works by the Argentine historian María Inés Tato, who has studied the mobilization of urban masses and public controversies during the war years.^[9] Olivier Compagnon compared Argentina and Brazil in his most recent book.^[10] However, neither of these works looks at the transnational entanglements of events in the Latin American dimension.

A study that goes beyond the confines of the nation and looks at global consciousness in Latin America in the context of the war as a truly global event is now forthcoming.^[11]

New Cultural History and Global History

The First World War has been a marginal topic in the historiography of Latin America for a long time. For scholars concentrating on the First World War itself, Latin America hardly existed at all. Instead, they concentrated mainly on the European and U.S. perspectives and on military, political and socioeconomic dimensions for decades. Parallel to the rise of global history, the past decade has seen the publication of several studies that have interpreted the war in its global context. Following a call voiced by Jürgen Kocka in 2004, historians have looked for the broader global meaning in the concept of "world war".^[12] Not surprisingly, the publications have focused on the European colonies in Africa and Asia or the Near East, where the war at land or sea took place. This focus reflects the Historiography 1918-Today (Latin America) - 1914-1918-Online limitation towards military matters characteristic of the traditional historiography on the First World War. If Latin America is mentioned in these works, then only in relation to the naval battles at Coronel and the Malvinas Islands in late 1914. For example, Lawrence Sondhaus characterized the war as a "global revolution".^[13] Only very recently has the global dimension of the war in relation to the mobilization of economic, social, military and cultural resources in all parts of the world caught historians' attention.

World War I historiography had another reason to not consider Latin American states. Until 1917, they remained completely neutral; important states such as Argentina, Mexico and Chile remained so until the war's end. Traditionally, military historians have deemed neutrals as passive and uninteresting, save the United States. More recent scholarship, however, has pointed to the fact that in the total wars of the 20th century, neutrality changed. Passivity is not the right term to capture the many dimensions of neutrals' involvement in war. Because of their resources and strategic location, neutrals had a certain power to negotiate with belligerents. It is important to ascertain the capabilities and limitations of each power individually.^[14]

In the context of the Fritz Fischer (1908-1999) controversy in German historiography fifty years ago, the thesis of Germany's global plans for war and revolution have caught the attention of historians again.^[15] The incitement of revolutionary upheaval in the colonial world was a form of waging the war in Latin America, too, although only informally colonized. The "secret war" in Mexico falls into this category. Not only Germans but also the other powers actively participated with the help of their spies and nationals abroad. All warring parties tried to support social revolutions and nationalist liberation movements in the territories of their enemies.^[16] Thus, the imperialist rivalry outside Europe, including Latin America, which was obviously an important reason for the outbreak of the First World War, is now regaining the attention of historical scholarship.^[17]

Conclusion

The "global turn" in historiography opens a window of opportunity to "bring Latin America back in" to the history of the First World War. Thus, the history of that continent during the war years, which from a European perspective was at the margin of events, needs a re-evaluation. The global perspective allows insights into transnational entanglements in Latin America in this period, which in turn throw a new light on sociocultural change and calls into question the traditional periodization of Latin American history.^[18]

Stefan Rinke, Freie Universität Berlin

Reviewed by external referees on behalf of the General Editors

Notes

- † Bakewell, Peter: A History of Latin America, Malden 2004; Halperin Donghi, Tulio: Geschichte Lateinamerikas von der Unabhängigkeit bis zur Gegenwart, Frankfurt am Main 1994; Chevalier, François: América Latina de la independencia a nuestros días, Barcelona 1983; Ayala Mora, Enrique et al. (eds.): Historia General de América Latina, vol. 7, Los proyectos nacionales latinoamericanos. Sus instrumentos y articulación, 1870-1930, Madrid 2008.
- A Gaillard, Gaston: Amérique latine et Europe occidentale. L'Amérique latine et la guerre, Paris 1918, https://archive.org/details/amriquelatinee00gail [retrieved: 06.11.2014]; Kirkpatrick, F.A.: South America and the War, Cambridge 1918, http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/38793 [retrieved: 06.11.2014]; Barrett, John: Latin America and the War, Washington 1919, https://archive.org/details/latinamericawars00barr [retrieved: 06.11.2014].
- 3. ↑ Martin, Percy A.: Latin America and the War, Gloucester 1967 [1925].
- 4. ↑ Frank, Andre Gunder: Latin America. Underdevelopment or Revolution, New York 1969, http://digamo.free.fr/agfrank.pdf [retrieved: 06.11.2014].
- 5. ↑ Albert, Bill: South America and the First World War, Cambridge 1988.
- 6. † Vinhosa, Francisco Luiz Texeira: O Brasil e a Primeira Guerra Mundial. A diplomacia brasileira e as grandes potências, Rio de Janeiro 1990; Couyoumdjian, Juan Ricardo: Chile y Gran Bretaña durante la Primera Guerra Mundial y la postguerra, Santiago 1986; Katz, Friedrich: The Secret War in Mexico. Europe, the United States and the Mexican Revolution, Chicago 1981.
- 7. 1 Solveira de Báez, Beatriz Rosario: La Argentina, el ABC y el conflicto entre México y Estados Unidos 1913-1916, Córdoba 1994; Díaz Araujo, Enrique: Yrigoyen y la guerra, Mendoza 1987; Siepe, Raimundo: Yrigoyen, la Primera Guerra Mundial y las relaciones económicas, Buenos Aires 1992. For a good overview of the research until 1994, see Weinmann, Ricardo: Argentina en la Primera Guerra Mundial. Neutralidad, Transicion Politica y Continuismo Economico, Buenos Aires 1994, pp. 21-30. For the more recent historiography, see Tato, María Inés: La Gran Guerra en la historiografía argentina. Balance y perspectivas de investigación, in: Iberoamericana 14 (2014), pp. 91-102.
- 8. ↑ Dehne, Phillip A.: On the Far Western Front. Britain's First World War in South America, Manchester 2009.
- 1 Tato, María Inés: La disputa por la argentinidad. Rupturistas y neutralistas durante la Primera Guerra Mundial, in: Temas de historia argentina y americana 13 (2008), pp. 227-250. Tato, María Inés: El llamado de la patria. Británicos e italianos residentes en la Argentina frente a la Primera Guerra Mundial, in: Estudios Migratorios Latinoamericanos 25 (2011), pp. 273-292. Tato, María Inés: Contra la corriente. Los intelectuales germanófilos argentinos frente a la Primera Guerra Mundial, in: Jahrbuch für Geschichte Lateinamerikas 49 (2012), pp. 205-223.
- 10. ↑ Compagnon, Olivier: L'adieu à l'Europe. L'Amérique latine et la Grande Guerre (Argentine et Brésil, 1914-1939), Paris 2013.
- 11. ↑ Rinke, Stefan: Lateinamerika und der Erste Weltkrieg. Ein Drama der gesamten Menschheit, Frankfurt am Main 2015 (forthcoming).
- 12. † Kocka, Jürgen: Der Große Europäische Krieg 90 Jahre danach, in: Bleiber, Helmut / Küttler, Wolfgang (eds.): Revolution und Reform in Deutschland im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert, vol. 2, Ideen und Reflexionen, Berlin 2005, pp. 179-190.
- 13. ↑ Sondhaus, Lawrence: World War One. The Global Revolution, Cambridge 2011.

- 14.
 Hertog, Johan den / Kruizinga, Samuël: Introduction, in: Hertog, Johan den / Kruizinga, Samuël (eds.): Caught in the Middle. Neutrals, Neutrality and the First World War, Amsterdam 2011, pp. 1f. Frey, Marc: The Neutrals and World War One, in: Defense Studies 3 (2000), pp. 3ff, http://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/99743/FS0300.pdf?sequence=1 [retrieved: 06.11.2014].
- 15. † Jenkins, Jennifer: Fritz Fischer's "Programme for Revolution". Implications for a Global History of Germany in the First World War, in: Journal of Contemporary History 48 (2013), pp. 397-417. See also Strachan, Hew: The First World War, vol. 1 (To Arms), Oxford 2001, chapter 9.
- 16. ↑ Friedrich Katz has already made this point. Katz, Secret War 1981, p. x.
- 17. † Bayly, Christopher A.: The Birth of the Modern World, 1780-1914, Malden 2004, p. 472.
- 18. ↑ Rinke, Lateinamerika und der Erste Weltkrieg 2015.

Selected Bibliography

Albert, Bill: South America and the First World War. The impact of the war on Brazil, Argentina, Peru, and Chile, Cambridge; New York 1988: Cambridge University Press.

Compagnon, Olivier: L'adieu à l'Europe. L'Amérique latine et la Grande Guerre, Paris 2013: Fayard.

Compagnon, Olivier: Entrer en guerre? Neutralité et engagement de l'Amérique latine entre 1914 et 1918, in: Relations Internationales 137, 2009, pp. 31-41.

Couyoumdjian, Juan Ricardo: Chile y Gran Bretaña. Durante la Primera Guerra Mundial y la postguerra, 1914-1921, Santiago de Chile 1986: Ediciones Universidad Católica de Chile.

Dehne, Phillip: **On the far Western Front. Britain's First World War in South America**, Manchester; New York 2009: Manchester University Press.

Geli, Patricio: **Representations of the Great War in the South American left. The Socialist Party of Argentina**, in: Bley, Helmut / Kremers, Anorthe (eds.): The world during the First World War. Perceptions, experiences and consequences, Essen 2014: Klartext.

Katz, Friedrich: **The secret war in Mexico. Europe, the United States, and the Mexican Revolution**, Chicago 1981: University of Chicago Press.

Kuntz Ficker, Sandra: **El impacto de la Primera Guerra Mundial sobre el comercio exterior de México**, in: Iberoamericana 14/53, 2014, pp. 117-137.

Martin, Percy Alvin: Latin America and the war, Baltimore 1925: Johns Hopkins Press.

Rausch, Jane M.: Colombia's neutrality during 1914-1918. An overlooked dimension of World War I, in: Iberoamericana 14/53, 2014, pp. 103-115.

Rinke, Stefan: **Im Sog der Katastrophe. Lateinamerika und der Erste Weltkrieg**, Frankfurt am Main 2015: Campus.

Rinke, Stefan: 'Ein Drama der gesamten Menschheit'. Lateinamerikanische Perspektiven auf den Ersten Weltkrieg, in: Geschichte und Gesellschaft 40, 2014, pp. 287-307.

Siepe, Raimundo: **Yrigoyen, la Primera Guerra Mundial y las relaciones económicas**, Buenos Aires 1992: Centro Editor de América Latina. Historiography 1918-Today (Latin America) - 1914-1918-Online Tato, María Inés: **El llamado de la patria. Británicos e Italianos residentes en la Argentina frente a la Primera Guerra Mundial I**, in: Estudios Migratorios Latinoamericanos 71, 2011, pp. 273-292.

Tato, María Inés: La disputa por la argentinidad. Rupturistas y neutralistas durante la **Primera Guerra Mundial**, in: Temas de Historia Argentina y Americana 13, 2008, pp. 227-250.

Tato, María Inés: La Gran Guerra en la historiografía argentina. Balance y perspectivas de investigación, in: lberoamericana 14/53, 2014, pp. 91-101.

Tato, María Inés: Contra la corriente. Los intelectuales germanófilos argentinos frente a la Primera Guerra Mundial, in: Jahrbuch für Geschichte Lateinamerikas 49/1, 2013, pp. 205–224, doi:10.7767/jbla.2012.49.1.205.

Vinhosa, Francisco Luiz Teixeira: O Brasil e a Primeira Guerra Mundial. A diplomacia brasileira e as grandes potências (Brazil and the First World War. Brazilian diplomacy and the great power), Rio de Janeiro 1990: Instituto Histórico e Geográfico Brasileiro.

Citation

Rinke, Stefan: Historiography 1918-Today (Latin America), in: 1914-1918-online. International Encyclopedia of the First World War, ed. by Ute Daniel, Peter Gatrell, Oliver Janz, Heather Jones, Jennifer Keene, Alan Kramer, and Bill Nasson, issued by Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin 2014-10-08. **DOI**: 10.15463/ie1418.10501.

License

This text is licensed under: CC by-NC-ND 3.0 Germany - Attribution, Non-commercial, No Derivative Works.