

Version 1.0 | Last updated 22 March 2016

# War Aims and War Aims Discussions (South East Europe)

By Mile Bjelajac

This article offers a survey of the main currents in South East Europe in regard to the war aims of the belligerents from 1914. Some war aims had deep roots in the pre-war years. The author puts emphasis on the emerging Yugoslav state in the Balkans. The Serbs and Yugoslavs, while pursuing their own goals, found themselves frequently at odds with the interests and perceptions of their allies as well as their foes. The attempts of both the Central Powers and the Entente to win support of the neutral countries by promising them territorial gains are highlighted. In addition, the local ambitions are laid out.

## **Table of Contents**

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Serbian war aims
- 3 The Creation of the Yugoslav Committee and the Corfu Declaration
- 4 Montenegro at the Crossroad
- **5** Central Powers, Entente and the Balkan States

War Aims and War Aims Discussions (South East Europe) - 1914-1918-Online

6 Conclusion

**Notes** 

Selected Bibliography

Citation

## Introduction

The expulsion of the Ottoman Empire from Europe in 1912 produced an immediate upset among the Great Powers and triggered a chain of events that would lead to global conflict in 1914. The Balkan Wars (1912-1913) triggered hopes among South Slavs in many cities that liberation from the

Habsburg rule was imminent.<sup>[1]</sup> A credible Croat witness recorded: "Dream has become reality: Kosovo was revenged."<sup>[2]</sup> Since 1906, intellectuals, artists and poets from all areas inhabited by South Slavs had worked towards "unification of South Slavs in the cultural field." Just before the war, preparations began for publishing a Yugoslav Encyclopedia.<sup>[3]</sup> The historian Dennison Rusinow described it as: "Yugoslav idea before Yugoslavia."<sup>[4]</sup> The same sentiments were also felt among certain unofficial circles, such as the organization "Unification or Death", also known as "Black Hand", in Serbia proper. Meanwhile, the Balkan Wars had a profound impact on the Austro-Hungarian rulers and their mindset.<sup>[5]</sup> Franz Ferdinand, Archduke of Austria-Este (1863-1914) claimed in June 1914 that the "situation gets worse not only among the Serbs in Bosnia and Herzegovina, but among Croatian politicians there, as well...All Yugoslavs have become politically unreliable. However, that can be said for Croatia as well as for Bosnia."<sup>[6]</sup>

## Serbian war aims

Surprised by the events on 28 July 1914, official Serbia quickly turned to adjust not only military efforts with the new Allies, but also its war aims. By the end of August 1914, Prime Minister Nikola Pašić (1845-1926) and his close collaborators outlined Serbia's war aims. The document had the initial purpose of explaining to the Entente Powers why Serbia declined to yield part of Macedonia to Bulgaria as they had suggested. The Serbian government emphasized that Serbia became the victim of both German Empires since she stood on their "drive towards East." On 4 September, all Serbian ambassadors received instructions to work diligently towards the creation of a "strong South-West Slav state which was to encompass all Croats, Serbs and Slovenes." This was confirmed in the Declaration of the Serbian Parliament on 7 December 1914. However, some historians still wrongly maintain that once internationally sponsored, the Serbian program (Garašanin's memorandum, 1844) "remained the key policy blueprint for Serbia's rulers" until 1918.

At the core of Serbian understanding of the historical situation was the perception of the necessity for the creation of a larger state in the Balkans. As Pašić put it:

The creation of small states would be detrimental to peace in South-East Europe as foreign officials would insinuate themselves, sowing mistrust, envy and hatred. A strong state is necessary for peace in South-East Europe. War was seen as a possible means of overcoming the past by making it possible for ethnically similar populations to unify on the basis of the Yugoslav idea.<sup>[10]</sup>

On 5 November 1914, Prime Minister Pašić warned the Allies that Serbia was compelled "to fight not only for it[self], but also for the other Balkan nations." [11] By the end of 1914, the Yugoslav project had two versions (maps) and several alternatives. Both variants contained broadly the same northern border encompassing Timisoara, Subotica, Baja, Pecs, Maribor, Klagenfurt and Villach and

the border ran along the eastern side of the Isonzo valley and met the Adriatic at Aquileia. The first variant provided for a zone "pending agreement with Italy" (Carniola, Tolmin, Gorizia, Trieste, Capo di Istria, Parenzo, Rovigno, and Pola). There was hesitation over the future eastern border line with Romania: the minimum requirement was for a border to run immediately east of Bela Crkva and Timisoara. The maps had roots in the government's memorandum of 22 September 1914. [12] The maps were almost identical to the proposals of the Croat émigré Frano Supilo (1870-1917) in November 1914.

## The Creation of the Yugoslav Committee and the Corfu Declaration

In order to propel their ideas, the Serbian government came up with a plan to engage not only diplomats, but also scientists and prominent scholars, as well as distinguished émigrés from Austria-Hungary. The very idea of the creation of a Yugoslav Committee came up as early as 1914.<sup>[13]</sup>

On 8 November 1914, they agreed to create a committee that would promote Yugoslav aspirations for an independent unified state. The Croatian émigrés Supilo and Ante Trumbić (1864-1938) agreed to accept Serbian financial backing for a Committee. Trumbić wrote in the Italian newspaper *Corierre de la Serra* that Croats and Serbs were one and the same nation and had the same ideal, which was "one and indivisible throughout the sacred entity of motherland, blood and tongue." The Committee was established on 30 April 1915 in Paris.<sup>[14]</sup>

The Serbian delegation met with the Yugoslav Committee at Corfu in June 1917. The meeting resulted in the "Corfu Declaration" on 20 July.<sup>[15]</sup> Yugoslavs demonstrated that they were in favor of the creation of a free and independent South Slav state based on the right to self-determination of the people and on modern democratic governance. In order to comply with this basic principle, they claimed that South Slavs were a "unique nation, constituted of three tribes – Serbs, Croats and Slovenes." They came to terms in respect of fourteen points including the name and symbols of the future state, constitutional monarchy, universal suffrage, and principles of equality for all citizens, religion, language and alphabet.<sup>[16]</sup> The Corfu Declaration stood in contrast to proposals delivered by the South Slav delegation in the Austrian Parliament in May 1917, which argued for a "third Slav unit" within the Monarchy.<sup>[17]</sup>

On the eve of the Corfu Conference in 1917, Pašić made public the notion that he was not against future Yugoslavia as a federal state constituted by the three nations. According to his biographer Ante Smith Pavelić, Trumbić rejected the proposal because he was afraid of Great Serbia within Yugoslavia, and consequently supported a simple centralized form of the state. Pašić explained at the time that federalism would encompass the prior right of peoples (nations) to self-determination. The application of "historical rights" and sticking to the concept of "historical lands" would divide Serbian people in several states and would fail to respect what Serbs had done for liberation to date.

He repeated the same point of view in the constitutional debates after the war (1920).<sup>[18]</sup>

In January 1918, the Corfu Declaration was published in two newspapers of the Habsburg Monarchy. [19] Serbia's war aim had suffered some minor adjustments. Bulgaria's attack provided grounds for demanding additional compensations (the Struma Valley). In addition, Albania's fate during the war allowed the possibility of Serbia's expansion in that direction as well (a claim for Shkodër). Regarding Italy, the former demand for Gradisca, Trieste, and western Istria was abandoned. The latter was left for possible direct negotiations between "Italian and Yugoslav representatives," after the war. [20] The border projects combined, first and foremost, an ethnic principle, and secondly a strategic principle.

"The program"<sup>[21]</sup> as historians described it, was explicitly included in "the Entente's general war aims, with the application of liberal Western ideas, especially those stemming from US President Woodrow Wilson (1856-1924), but the essence of the provisions was a desire to ensure lasting peace. The fundamental aim was to dismantle Austria-Hungary, since allegedly "its nations did not wish to remain in it". Its historical sense lay in "serving the drive of German power and culture towards the East."<sup>[22]</sup>

The above was clearly explained in the assertion that

Germanism will lose strength; it will lose around 40 million people of non-German nations who now live in Austria-Hungary and serve German policy. Germany will thus be cut off from the east...with Poland, Czechoslovakia and the Yugoslav state, which all together will number 46 million inhabitants who will wish to defend their survival."<sup>[23]</sup>

# Montenegro at the Crossroad

Due to the economic hardship and therefore dependence on Serbia, Montenegro instigated the talks for unification in 1913. Many issues were left undisputed, including joint general staff, coordination of foreign affairs, and practice of joint diplomatic missions, common currency, common financial policy and customs, traffic and post, and preserving both dynasties. Mistrust and obstacles remained. The question of dynasty was especially crucial. In the Montenegrin Parliament, there were strong forces in favor of unification, which corresponded to the public sentiment at the time. [24] The Serbian heir to the throne, Prince Alexander Karadjordjević (1888-1934), in response to the letter of his Montenegrin counterpart Prince Danilo Petrović-Njegoš (1871-1939), emphasized that unification would be "along the lines of the German system." He saw unification within the framework of broader Yugoslav unification: Danilo did not respond. [25]

Since both governments had been informed that Double Monarchy could take it as a pretext for a war, they temporarily ceased talks on future unification in the spring 1914. [26] At the outset of war, Nikola I, King of Montenegro (1841-1921) in spite of Austro-Hungarian suggestions to stay neutral, [27] followed the Serbian prince's proclamation of war, stating that it would be a struggle for the "liberty of Serbs and Yugoslavs." In his own words, he perceived himself as one of "two old"

The Montenegrin army was to act according to the joint war plan, and a Serbian general was designated as the Chief of Staff accompanied by other officers. The assistance in armaments, ammunition, provisions and financing were provided by the Serbian government, too. The Serbian officers were expressly forbidden to discuss or campaign for unification.<sup>[29]</sup>

The Montenegrin dynasty in exile found itself subjected to strong pressure of the compatriots to cease to be an obstacle to the widespread desire of the people for unification. Instead, the Montenegrin court turned to Italy and Russia, demanding preservation of Montenegro after the war, with respect to its territorial demands (northern Albania with the mouth of the river Drin; Sarajevo and surrounding areas; the river Neretva valley to the Adriatic; and the coast from the river Neretva to Medua, including Dubrovnik and the Bay of Cattaro). The Montenegrin king Nikola declared that "Serbdom shall not be unified, that is just an idea for hotheads. It cannot happen without the eradication of one dynasty [i.e. either the Karadjordjević or the Petrović]." His prime minister resigned. On the other side, the Serbian prime minister was resolute: "The unification of Montenegro with Serbia must be carried out, whether there will be Yugoslavia or not." [30]

On 18 August 1916, the new Montenegrin Prime Minister Andrija Radović (1872-1947) suggested Nikola should immediately pursue unification with Serbia by abdicating in favor of Serbian Prince Alexander, actually his grandson. Since the King declined the proposal, Radović resigned in January 1917. His successor, Milo Matanović (1879-1955), reiterated the urgency to negotiate unification with Serbia. He appealed in vain that "the idea of unification became a religion of the masses" and because of the king's hesitation, that could lead to "anti-national separatism" in Montenegro. Matanović and his government also resigned shortly thereafter.

The Serbian government helped in organizing and promoting the Montenegrin Committee for National Union in March 1917. This committee publicly endorsed the Corfu Declaration in favor of Yugoslav unification. On the other side, King Nikola I and his supporters waged a propaganda war against the committee. In the final stage of the war, the intention of the Serbian government was to send Montenegrins in the Serbian army into the field ahead of the other troops. The aim was to instigate an uprising and sweep out the Austro-Hungarian occupation regime from Montenegro. They were supposed to be there before the Italians, who as it was perceived, intended to occupy and rule in the name of Nikola I. [34]

# Central Powers, Entente and the Balkan States

The Serbs and Yugoslavs, while pursuing their goals, found themselves frequently at odds with the interests and perceptions of their allies as well as their foes. If their foes overcame the Entente, Serbia and Montenegro accepted that they would have been reduced to small, landlocked countries completely dependent on the Habsburg Monarchy. Only Hungarian resistance to the idea of

incorporation of the two into the Habsburg Monarchy seemed to preserve their very existence. The Austro-Hungarian war aims had been set in 1906 and encompassed immediate and postponed goals. The Habsburg Monarchy proved itself a great power by the annexation of Bosnia and Herzegovina and by the establishment of Albania amid the Balkan Wars. However, Serbia became an obstacle for far-reaching German and Austro-Hungarian plans to dominate the Balkans and the Near East. In light of the new opportunities, in July 1914, the most radical views within top echelons of the Habsburg Monarchy suggested that Serbia would be divided between Austria-Hungary, Bulgaria, Albania, and Romania. In brief, "Serbia should disappear." [35] The Austro-Hungarian chief of general staff, Franz Conrad von Hötzendorf (1852-1925), hoped for an annexation of western Serbia and Sanjak, displacement of Serbs from eastern Bosnia and settlement of Croats there. He envisaged the Sava valley and the south banks of the Danube would be attached as well. [36] Less radical proposals in Vienna called for a change of the Serbian dynasty, new favorable military convention, strategic correction of the borders, and important gains for Bulgaria, Albania and Romania. In the time of crisis, namely at the end of 1914, Germany even put pressure on its ally to accept some sacrifices in order to keep Italy and Romania on the side of the Triple Alliance. In order to keep Italy neutral, the monarchy finally offered to cede Tyrol in her favor after the war. [37]

Soon after the defeat in the Second Balkan War in 1913, Bulgaria had sought an alliance with Austria-Hungary, which was to include Germany. Thus, in the aftermath, Serbia had to reckon with Bulgaria joining the enemy. The Bulgarian move stemmed from the crisis of 1908/1909 when Austria-Hungary had secretly offered friendship to Bulgaria on the basis of a division of Serbia's territories. In July 1914, Germany and Austria-Hungary pushed for a secret alliance with Bulgaria by offering the latter gains in Macedonia. The Bulgarian terms encompassed parts of Macedonia then in Serbian hands and sectors of Kavalla in Greece and Dobrudja in Romania, if those two became enemies. In the spring of 1915, the Bulgarians further increased their demands. They called for enlarged territorial claims over the Južna (South) Morava valley and parts of eastern Serbia. [38] The opposition parties issued warnings and asked for the recall of parliament, but in vain. [39] After several months, on 6 September 1915, Bulgaria signed a secret agreement and military convention with the Central Powers. In January 1916, Bulgaria proclaimed its war aims, namely the unification of the Bulgarian nation within its historic and ethnic borders (including the access to the Adriatic).

The Entente was not in full combat readiness at the outbreak of the war. In addition, they lacked an accurate estimate of Serbian combat value at the time. Thus, they sought new allies: Bulgaria, Romania, Greece, and Italy. The Entente offered territorial compensation at the expense of not only Austria-Hungary and the Ottoman Empire, but Serbia and Greece as well. Bulgaria in particular was in the focus of both camps because it controlled supply routes for Russia, Serbia and the Ottoman Empire. Russia opened the question of Serbian compensation to Bulgaria as early as 31 July 1914. The Allies hoped to obtain full engagement of Bulgaria at the outbreak of hostilities. In that case, Russia was prepared to guarantee her gains up to the Kriva Palanka-Ohrid line. However, Bulgaria would be immediately granted Kočani and Štip even if she remained neutral. France and Great

Britain joined the action at the end of August. Serbia responded with readiness to discuss the issue, but also emphasized difficulties. Serbia expressed readiness to follow advice but under the condition that Greece and Romania made sacrifices. She was under severe pressure from her allies within the following twelve months. The final ultimatum was delivered to Serbia on 3 August 1915. If Serbia was to accept a loss in Macedonia she would be rewarded with Bosnia and Herzegovina, Slavonia, Srem, Bačka, part of the Adriatic coast from Cavtat to peninsula Planka (Split and Dubrovnik inclusive) and part of Albania between the rivers Drin and Vojuša. Serbia started to play for time, trying secretly to convince its allies that the plan would fail. Not only Serbs but also French familiar with the situation and Greek Liberals were pessimistic about the real attitude of Bulgaria and Ferdinand I, Tsar of Bulgaria (1861-1948).<sup>[40]</sup>

Italy was a resolute and steady opponent of the Yugoslav state project. She even opposed the idea of Serbian access to the Adriatic. Finally, in the secret London treaty (April 1915) she was promised most of the east Adriatic littoral and the islands, as well as part of Albania, and of course South Tyrol. The Allies also tried to win over Romania by offering the whole of Banat (Hungary) in exchange. Both offers jeopardized the Yugoslav program of Serbian government.<sup>[41]</sup>

Romania held a secret alliance with the Central Powers (1913). In spite of Carol I, King of Romania's (1839-1914) pro-German attitude, Ion Brătianu's (1864-1927) new 1914 government wanted to avoid the war. They accepted the Italian stand – neutrality. The prime minister agreed with Russia to obtain the gains in Transylvania in exchange for benevolent neutrality. [42] The new king, Carol (since October 1914), was in favor of the Entente. Secretly they started negotiations with it and likewise Serbia and the Yugoslavs, and ran propaganda for the war aims in France, Italy, Great Britain and the USA. [43] The German government suggested that Hungary should make some sacrifices in Transylvania in order to win Romania, but the Hungarians always rejected the suggestions.

Italy and Romania shared the same fears of an emerging South Slav state in the region after the future collapse of Austria-Hungary. Throughout negotiations with the Entente they had in mind strategic borders in the first place. Finally, on 17 August 1916 a treaty guaranteed Romania, the river Tisa (or Tisza) in Hungary as a western border and recognized the right of the Romanian population to self-determination. However, the Central Powers won, and imposed a puppet regime. In 1918, Romania was again engaged on the side of the Entente. Romanians from Transylvania proclaimed unification with Romania, but the question of Banat was still unresolved since the Serbian army already had Timisoara and Arad in its possession. [44]

The newly created Albanian state (1913) soon fell into anarchy. The rival clans were engaged in fighting each other. Prince Wilhelm of Wied (1876-1945) left the country on 4 September 1914. Previously, in spite of money spent bribing Albanian leaders and distributing arms, Austria-Hungary had not succeeded in turning Albanian clans to attack Serbia from the rear and provoke mutinies in southern Serbia and Montenegro. The self-proclaimed new president, Essad Pasha Toptani (1863-1920) found himself in Niš, war capital of Serbia, and signed a treaty of alliance with Serbia on 17

September 1914. The treaty predicted military alliance, the possibility of conducting operations on Albanian soil, and on Essad Pasha's demand, the creation of bilateral commission for marking the frontiers, and Serbian obligation in a financing Albanian gendarmerie. Serbia also gave Essad Pasha 100,000 French francs as compensation for the military requisitions of the previous year during which Serbia had occupied parts of Albanian territory. The situation in Albania worsened after the Ottoman Empire entered the war in November 1914. The clans in the north (Krujë) jeopardized the position of Essad Pasha and with the assistance of the Bulgarian irregulars had instigated disturbances across the border. The former Prime Minister Ismail Kemal (1844-1919) wanted the reincorporation into the Ottoman Empire and the election of an Ottoman prince. In order to secure its rear and sustain Essad, Serbian troops enrolled in central Albania (June 1915) and remained there until February 1916. The Montenegrins entered Shkodër (Scutari) and took the port of Medua. Serbia signed a new treaty with Essad Pasha in Tirana on 28 June 1915. This treaty fixed the border in favor of Serbia in the region of Pogradec in southeastern Albania. [45] Italy was also involved in Albanian matters. She secured its southern Adriatic supremacy by creating a fortified bridgehead in Valona and its hinterland in late December 1914.

In order not to provoke Bulgaria and the Ottoman Empire, Britain restrained Greece from joining the Entente. At the beginning of 1915 Britain even demanded that Greece cede some recently acquired territories (Kavalla and Edessa) to Bulgaria in return for compensation in north Epirus (Albania) and Asia Minor (Constantinople excluded). However, the Greek Prime Minister Eleutherios Venizelos (1864-1936), who had been strongly attached to the Greek Megali idea, did not welcome these offers. [47] He was eager to assist Serbia in 1915 and formerly had invited the French and British to send expeditionary forces to Thessalonica. Constantine I, King of Greece (1868-1923) opposed and forced Venizelos to resign. The new government in Athens, which represented an "Old Greece" was at odds with the Entente. Soon after the Greek pro-Venizelos officers had staged an anti-government coup in Thessalonica, Venizelos formed a new provisional government. The Entente gave him and his army full support. In revenge, they would be able to establish a new Thessalonica front. Greece became politically split in two parts. Under the constant pressure of its allies, the government in Athens actually gave up the last prerogatives of a sovereign state in January 1917. The Entente finally secured their rear in that theater of war. [48]

## Conclusion

The outcome of the First World War enabled some war aims or even dreams to become truth. Romania, which reentered the war in November 1918 gained Transylvania, all Bukovina, and eastern Banat (at the expense of the Habsburg Monarchy) and Bessarabia (at the expense of Russia), and regained Dobruja from Bulgaria. The newly created Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes (Yugoslavia) was the fulfillment of the Serbian war aim formulated as early as late August and presented to the Allies in September 1914 with the compliance of the later established Yugoslav Committee. Italy had a foothold in the Balkans in the north and south Adriatic, as well as in Dalmatia

and the Dodecanese islands in the east Aegean. Greece was on the brink of fulfilling the "Great Idea." Eastern Thrace, and the Smyrna district created a significant bridgehead with almost 1 million Greeks and the islands were granted to her in the Treaty of Sèvres (1920). Greece was not satisfied and proceeded with military operations towards Anatolia seeking more territory. However, the war soon turned to be catastrophe for her; Greece lost everything. The disaster was accompanied by the expulsion of Greeks from Asia Minor. Bulgaria was a loser, too, having placed all hopes on the Central Powers in 1915. Instead of gaining Macedonia, parts of eastern Serbia and the Aegean littoral at the expense of Greece, Bulgaria lost even some of her pre-war territories like Struma Valley, Caribrod and Bosilegrad in favor of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenes. Albania, which suffered several occupations during the war, was established finally within her 1913 borders. No one could imagine the immanent disappearance of Austria-Hungary in 1918. Even the Allies and the USA declared in 1917 that the dismembering of the monarchy was not one of the war objectives. Instead of bolstering her status of a Great Power, diminishing Serbia and becoming the dominant power in the Balkans, Austria-Hungary vanished from the map. The governments and prominent actors of the time, justified their claims on respective territories not only on ethnic grounds, but also on strategic and military grounds, or for of glory and prestige.

Mile Bjelajac, Institut za noviju istoriju Srbije

Section Editors: Milan Ristović; Richard C. Hall; Tamara Scheer

#### **Notes**

- † Trgovčević, Ljubinka: South Slav Intellectuals and the Creation of Yugoslavia, in: Djokic, Dejan (ed.): Yugoslavism. Histories of a Failed Idea, 1918-1991, London 2002, pp. 222-237, 230 after: Watson R.S.: Jugosloveni, Korespodencija [Yugoslavs, Correspondence] 1906-1941, volume 1, Zagreb et al. London, 1976, p. 118.
- 2. † Horvat, Josip: Pobuna omladine 1911-1914 [Uprising of the youths 1911-1914], Zagreb 2006, pp. 211-214; see also: Gross, Mirjana: Nacionalne ideje studentske omladine u Hrvatskoj uoci I svjetskog rata [National Ideas among the Students in Croatia in the Eve of the First World War], Historijski zbornik, volume 21-22, Zagreb (1968-1969), pp. 75-143.
- 3. † Trgovčević, South Slav Intellectuals 2002, pp. 222, 223, 226, 227.
- 4. ↑ Rusinow, Dennison: The Yugoslav Peoples, in: Sugar, Peter (ed.): Eastern European Nationalism in the Twentieth Century, Washington DC 1995, pp. 305-411; see also: Ekmečić, Milorad: Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1790-1918 [Creation of Yugoslavia], volume1-2, Belgrade 1989; Banac, Ivo: The National Question in Yugoslavia: Origins, History Politics, Ithaca 1984.

- 5. 1 Mitrović, Andrej: Prodor na Balkan, Srbija u planovima Austro-Ugarske i Nemačke 1918-1918 [Penetration into the Balkans. Serbia in the Austro-Hunagarian and German plans 1908-1918], Belgrade 1981, pp. 97-111,132-144; Berghan, V.R.: Germany and the Approach of War in 1914, New York 1973, p. 169; Mombauer, Annika: Helmut von Moltke and Origins of the First World War, Cambridge 2001, pp. 144, 146, 151; see also: Hall, Richard C.: The Balkan Wars 1913-1913 Prelude to the First World War, London 2002, pp. 130-132; McMeekin, Sean: The Berlin-Baghdad Express, The Ottoman Empire and Germany's Bid for World Power 1898-1918, London et al. 2010.
- 6. † Dedijer, Vladimir: Sarajevo, Prosveta, Belgrade 1966, p. 468 (after: Österreichisches Staatsarchiv/Haus-, Hof- und Staatsarchiv (HHSTA), Nachlass Erzherzog Franz Ferdinand, Betreff: Thronwechsel).
- 7. Mitrović, Andrej: Serbia's Great War, 1914-1918, London 2007, pp. 60-61.
- 8. ↑ Ekmečić, Milorad: Stvaranje Jugoslavije, volume 2, Belgrade 1989, p. 671; Srpske novine [Official Gazette], Nis, 28 November (Old Calendar)/ 8 December 1914.
- 9. ↑ Clark, Christopher: The Sleepwalkers. How Europe Went to War in 1914, London 2012, p. 22.
- 10. ↑ Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, p. 62; Ekmečić, Milorad: Ratni ciljevi Srbije 1914 [The War Aims of Serbia], Belgrade 1973, p. 416.
- 11. Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 61-62.
- 12. † Ibid., pp. 97.
- 13. ↑ Stokes, Gale: The role of Yugoslav Committee in the formation of Yugoslavia, in: Djordjevic, Dimitirije (ed.): The Creation of Yugoslavia, 1914-1918, Santa Barbara 1990, p. 54; Trgovčević, South Slav Intellectuals, 2002, pp. 231-237; see also: Stanković, Đorđe/Pašić, Nikola: Saveznici i stvaranje Jugoslavije [Allies and creation of Yugoslavia], Belgrade 1984.
- 14. ↑ Lampe, John: Yugoslavia as History, Twice there was a country, Cambridge 1996, pp. 101-102; Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 141-142. The committee had offices in Paris, Rome, St. Petersburg, and Geneva.
- 15. ↑ Pleterski, Janko: Prvo opredeljenje Slovenaca za Jugoslaviju [The Slovenian First Determination for Yugoslavia], Belgrade 1976, pp. 159-163. Among the MPs in the Austrian Parliament (1917) were nineteen Slovenes, eleven Croats and two Serbs.
- ↑ Petranović, B./Zečević, M.: Jugoslavija 1918/1984, Zbirka dokumenata, Belgrade 1985, pp. 51-53 (full text of the Corfu Declaration); Zapisnici sa sednica Ministarskog saveta Srbije [Transcripts of the Serbian Government's Sessions] 1915-1918, Belgrade 1976, p. 430 (Government Session, 14 June 1917).
- 17. ↑ Pleterski, Prvo opredeljenje 1976, pp. 192-204; Krizman, Bogdan: Hrvatska u Prvom svjetskom ratu. Hrvatsko-srpski politički odnosi [Croatia in the First World War, Croatian-Serbian Political Relations], Zagreb 1989, pp. 137-149. In the summer of 1917 the Frankist Party acknowledged Serbian rights in Banat and Bačka only, and accused the Croat-Serbian Coalition (the ruling Party in Croatian Parliament) of Yugoslavism.
- 18. ↑ Stanković, Đ.: Nikola Pašić i Hrvati [Nikola Pašić in Croatia], Belgrade 1995, p. 19.
- 19. ↑ Ekmečić, M.: Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1790-1918 [Creation of Yugoslavia], volume 2, Belgrade 1989, p. 794.
- 20. Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 306-307.
- 21. † Memorandum 1918.
- 22. ↑ Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp, 307.

- 23. † Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp, 307-308; On Memorandum and American stand, see also: Ekmečić, Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1989, p. 775.
- 24. † Ekmečić, Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1989, p. 698; Rakočević, Novica: Politički odnosi Crne Gore i Srbije 1903-1918, [Political Relations between Montenegro and Serbia 1903-1918] Cetinje 1981, pp. 210, 213; Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 93-94.
- 25. ↑ Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, p. 140.
- 26. † Strandman, Vasilij N.: Balkanske uspomene, [The Balkans Souvenirs] vol 1, Belgrade 2009, p. 264.
- 27. † Raspopović, Radoslav M.: Diplomatija Crne Gore /Diplomatie du Monténégro 1711-1918, Podgorica et al. 1996, pp. 594-595. Austrians had offered to sustain the dynasty, to give financial support, to give assistance in economic development, and to provide protection of the Montenegrin state in international relations; more precisely, on 31 July, the Austrian ambassador reported territorial enlargement in the Sanjak of Novi Pazar, and in north Albania with Italian approval. See: Mitrović, Prodor na Balkan 1981, p. 197.
- 28. † Petranović/Zečević, Jugoslavija 1985 pp. 19-20.
- 29. † Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, p. 140; Mitrović, A.: Struktura ratnog finansiranja Srbije [The Sources for the War Finance in Serbia] 1914-1915, Tokovi istorije/Currents of History, 1-2 2000, pp. 83-110.
- 30. ↑ Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, p. 190.
- 31. 1 lbid., p. 192.
- 32. † Rakočević, Politički odnosi Crne Gore [Political Relations between Montenegro and Serbia 1903-1918]1981, p. 269.
- 33. † Petranović, B./Zečević, M.: Declaration of Montenegrin Committee, Paris, 11 August 1917, p. 54; Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, p. 282 (after: Vujović, Dimitrije: Ujedinjenje Crne Gore i Srbije [Unification of Montenegro with Serbia], Titograd 1962, pp. 173-176); R. M. Raspopović, Diplomatija Crne Gore /Diplomatie du Monténégro 1996, pp. 612-623.
- 34. ↑ Petranović/Zečević, Jugoslavija 1985, p. 77 (Serbian Minister of War to High Command, 3 October 1918)
- 35. ↑ Mitrović, Prodor na Balkan 1981, p. 191.
- 36. † Ekmečić, Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1989, p. 679.
- 37. ↑ Mitrović, Prodor na Balkan 1981, pp. 228, 229.
- 38. Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 123-6, 128.
- 39. † Bulgaria was under a state of emergency since 1914 probably because of popular Russophilia and because the Radoslavov government had only the barest possible electoral majority. They summoned parliament not before December 1915. See: Pavlowitch, S.K.: A History of the Balkans, London 1999, pp. 212, 221.
- 40. † Mitrović, Serbia's Great War, 2007, pp. 118-119, 142-143; Strandman, Balkanske uspomene 2009, pp. 346-7, 362, 369, 371-372; Le Moal, Frédéric: La Serbie du martyre à la victoire 1914-1918, Paris 2008, pp. 83-85; Petsalis-Diomidis, N.: Greece at the Paris Peace Conference (1919), Institute for Balkan Studies, Thessaloniki 1978, pp. 33-34; see also: Hrabak, Bogumil: Sile Antante i Sjedinjene Američke Države prema Bugarskoj 1915-1918, [The Attitude of Entente and USA towards Bulgaria 1915-1918] Vranje 1990; Popović, Nikola: Odnosi Srbije i Rusije u Prvom svetskom ratu 1914-1918, [Relations between Serbia and Russia during the First World War 1914-1918] Belgrade 1997; Opaćić, Petar: Srbija izmedju Antante i Centralnih sila 1915-1917, [Serbia between Entante and Central Powers 1915-1917] Belgrade 2009.

- 41. † Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 117-118; Pavlović, V.: Le conflit franco-italien dans les Balkans 1915-1935. Le rôle de la Yougoslavie, Balcanica XXXVI (2005), pp. 163-201, pp. 166-168; Le Moal, La Serbie 2008, pp. 83-84; see also: Le Moal, Frédéric: La France et l'Italie dans les Balkans, 1914-1919. Le contentieux adriatique Paris 2007; Marjanović, Milan: Londonski ugovor iz 1915. godine, Prilog povijesti borbe za Jadran 1914-1917, [London Treaty 1915. Contribution to the history of Struggle for the Adriatic Coast 1914-1917] Zagreb 1960; Zivojinović, Dragoljub: America, Italy and the birth of Yugoslavia (1917-1919), New York 1972.
- 42. † Pavlowitch, History of the Balkans 1999, p. 213. The Central Powers offered Russian Bessarabia and part of Serbia instead. In contrast to King Carol's sentiments, the new king, Ferdinand I, King of Romania (1865-1927), who succeeded the throne in October 1914, was in favor of Entente.
- 43. † Brothers Gogu and Paul Negulesku in 1917 published 'Romania' in Chicago that supported Romanian rights on Transylvania. The Romanian National League was established in USA run by professors Ludovic Mrazek (1867-1944) and N. Lupu. The strongest propaganda was exercised in France where many prominent professors, members of parliament and politicians had fled after the military debacle and occupation of 1917 (Take Jonesku, Octavian Goga, Vasile Lukatzu, N. Titulesku etc). They published La Transilvanie and La Roumanie in Paris. Besides, they had established the National Council of Romanian Unification which was recognized by the French government as the legitimate representative of Romanian people. Romanians had also participated in the Congress of Oppressed Nationalities held in Rome (26 March to 8 April 1918). See Ocatea, Andrej: Istorija rumunskog naroda [History of the Romanian People], Novi Sad 1979, pp. 291-292.
- 44. ↑ Ocatea, Istorija rumunskog 1979, pp. 292-293.
- 45. † Le Moal, La Serbie 2008, pp. 78-80; Clan leaders Bairam Cur, Cerim Bay, Hassan Pristina and others were supplied with 50,000 Austrian crowns and 2,000 rifles with ammunition by the general consul in Durrës. However, they always asked for more . See: Mitrović, Serbia's Great War 2007, pp. 128-135); see also: Hrabak, Bogumil: Arbanaski upadi i pubune na Kosovu i Makedoniji od kraja 1912 do kraja 1915 godine [Albanian Intrusions and Mutinies in Kosovo and Macedonia since the End of 1912 to the End of 1915], Vranje 1988, pp. 101-231; Strandman, Balkanske uspomene 2009, pp. 339-340, 361, 368, 395.
- 46. ↑ Mitrović, Prodor na Balkan 1981, p. 227; In August 1914, Venizelos had informed the Italian government that he was willing to negotiate the union of Valona and Italy, and of Northern Epirus and Greece (see: Petsalis-Diomidis, Greece at the Paris Peace Conference 1978, p. 35; Essad Pasha who had affiliated himself to the Entente went into exile to Thessalonica.
- 47. † The ultimate aim of the Greeks was the reestablishment of the Byzantine Empire. Turkey was to be defeated, Constantinople reconquered and fellow Greeks redeemed. In Venizelos' view Greece should acquire Thrace and western Asia Minor as well as East Mediterranean islands.
- 48. ¹ Pavlowitch, History of the Balkans 1999, pp. 213-214; Petsalis-Diomidis, Greece at the Paris Peace Conference 1978, pp. 15-17, 33-67; A Concise History of the Participation of the Hellenic Army in the First World War 1914-1918, Hellenic Army General Staff, Army History Directorate, Athens 1999, pp. 7-10, 40-55, 67-71, 91, 102-122; see also: Clogg, Richard: A Concise History of Greece, Cambridge 1992.

#### **Selected Bibliography**

Clogg, Richard: **A concise history of Greece**, Cambridge; New York 1992: Cambridge University Press.

Crampton, R. J.: **Bulgaria 1878-1918**, Boulder; New York 1983: East European Monographs.

Djordjević, Dimitrije (ed.): **The creation of Yugoslavia, 1914-1918**, Santa Barbara 1980: Clio Books.

Ekmečić, Milorad: **Ratni ciljevi Srbije 1914 (Serbia's war aims in 1914)**, Belgrade 1973: Srpska književna zadruga.

Ekmečić, Milorad: Stvaranje Jugoslavije 1790-1918 (The creation of Yugoslavia 1790-1918), volume 1-2, Belgrade 1989: Prosveta.

Hitchins, Keith: Rumania, 1866-1947, Oxford 1994: Clarendon Press.

Janković, Dragoslav / Hrabak, Bogumil (eds.): **Zapisnici Sednica Ministarskog saveta Srbije 1915-1918 (Transcripts of the Serbian government's sessions 1915-1918)**, Belgrade 1976: Beogradski izdavačko-grafički zavod.

Krizman, Bogdan: Hrvatska u prvom svjetskom ratu. Hrvatsko-srpski politički odnosi (Croatia in the First World War. Croatian-Serbian political relations), Zagreb 1989: Globus.

Lampe, John R.: **Yugoslavia as history. Twice there was a country**, Cambridge; New York 1996: Cambridge University Press.

Mitrović, Andrej: Prodor na Balkan. Srbija u planovima Austro-Ugarske i Nemačke, 1908-1918 (A breakthrough in the Balkans. Serbia in Austro-Hungarian and German planning, 1908-1918), Belgrade 1981: Nolit.

Mitrović, Andrej: **Serbia's Great War, 1914-1918**, West Lafayette 2007: Purdue University Press.

Moal, Frédéric Le: La Serbie. Du martyre à la victoire, 1914-1918, Saint-Cloud 2008: 14-18 éd..

Mombauer, Annika: **Helmuth von Moltke and the origins of the First World War**, Cambridge; New York 2001: Cambridge University Press.

Pavlowitch, Stevan K.: **A history of the Balkans, 1804-1945**, London; New York 1999: Addison-Wesley Longman.

Pleterski, Janko: Prvo opredeljenje Slovenaca za Jugoslaviju (The Slovenian first determination for Yugoslavia), Belgrade 1976: Nolit.

Rakočević, Novica: Politički odnosi Crne Gore i Srbije, 1903-1918 (Political Relations between Montenegro and Serbia 1903-1918), Cetinje 1981: Istorijski institut SR Crne Gore u Titogradu.

Stanković, Đorđe Đ.: Nikola Pasic, saveznici i stvaranje Jugoslavije (Nikola Pašić, the Allies and the creation of Yugoslavia), Belgrade 1984: Nolit.

Sugar, Peter F.: **Eastern European nationalism in the twentieth century**, Washington, D.C. 1995: American University Press.

Trgovčević, Ljubinka: **South Slav intellectuals and the creation of Yugoslavia**, in: Djokić, Dejan (ed.): Yugoslavism. Histories of a failed idea, 1918-1992, London 2003: Hurst, pp. 222-237.

Živojinovi, Dragoljub R.: **America, Italy, and the birth of Yugoslavia (1917-1919)**, Boulder; New York 1972: East European Quarterly; Columbia University Press.

#### Citation

Bjelajac, Mile: War Aims and War Aims Discussions (South East Europe), in: 1914-1918-online. International Encyclopedia of the First World War, ed. by Ute Daniel, Peter Gatrell, Oliver Janz, Heather Jones, Jennifer Keene, Alan Kramer, and Bill Nasson, issued by Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin 2016-03-22. **DOI**: 10.15463/ie1418.10868.

#### License

This text is licensed under: CC by-NC-ND 3.0 Germany - Attribution, Non-commercial, No Derivative Works.